



This is Fig. 4d from Ryu & Jones (1995, ApJ, 442, 228), showing the solution of the MHD shock tube problem with the left state $(\rho, v_1, v_2, v_3, B_2, B_3, p_1) = [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]$ and the right state [0.3, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0.2]with $B_1 = 0.7$ and $\gamma = 5/3$ at time t = 0.16. At t = 0, the discontinuity is at $x_1 = 0.5$. Plots show from left to right: (1) Euler (*i.e.*, HD since $B_{\perp} = 0$ on both sides) rarefaction, (2) "switch-on" slow rarefaction (at $0.4 < x_1 < 0.45$), (3) contact discontinuity (at $x_1 \sim 0.55$), (4) slow shock (at $x_1 \sim 0.64$), (5) rotational discontinuity (at $x_1 \sim 0.7$), and (6) fast rarefaction. See Problem 15 in the 1-D Gallery for a definition of a "switch-on" wave.

Open circles are the dzeus36 solution using 512 zones, CMoC, the total energy equation, and thirdorder interpolation with the contact steepener engaged. dzeus36 parameters controlling the time step and artificial viscosity are: courno=0.75, qcon=1.0, and qlin=0.2. Analytical solutions from the non-linear Riemann solver described in Ryu & Jones are unavailable for this problem.

Despite the introduction of the new *Finely Interleaved Transport* algorithm, FIT, dzeus36 still seems to be trying to insert a "rarefaction shock" into the fast rarefaction wave. As done for the dzeus35 solution, this can be prevented by increasing qlin to 0.4. See problem 21 in the 1-D Gallery for further discussion.